Vibro-Acoustic modelling, simulation and optimisation of anisotropic cellular materials



ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Eva Lundberg, Huina Mao, Mathieu Gaborit, Romain Rumpler, Brad Semeniuk,

Peter Göransson

KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden Volvo CE, Sweden Laboratoire d'Acoustique de l'Université du Mans (LAUM), France

with gratitude for all the collaborations over the years from Olivier Dazel, Jacques Cuenca, Juan Pablo Parra Martinez, ...

Sorrento SAPEM'23



From microstructure to optimisation of macro performance

ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY







Geometry and morphology

ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY





3



Geometry and morphology



4



Porosity







Thinner struts

Thicker struts

1.2



y z 🚽 Cell height 3 mm



Governing equations

ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

$$u^{t} = (1 - \phi)u^{s} + \phi u^{f}$$
$$\nabla \hat{\sigma}^{s} = -\omega^{2} \tilde{\rho}_{s} u^{s} - \omega^{2} \tilde{\tau} u^{t}$$
$$-\nabla p = -\omega^{2} \tilde{\tau} u^{s} - \omega^{2} \tilde{\rho}_{t} u^{t}$$
$$p = -\tilde{K}_{eq} \nabla \cdot u^{t}$$
$$\hat{\sigma}^{s} = H \epsilon^{s}$$
$$\tilde{\sigma}_{s} - (1 - \omega^{s})^{s}$$

- u^{s} solid displacement
- u^f fluid displacement

• *p* pressure

- K_{eq} fluid compressibility
- ρ_s solid constituent density

• ρ_f fluid density

- σ^s Cauchy stress
- *H* Hooke's matrix
- $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{s}$ linear strain

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{\boldsymbol{s}} = (1-\phi)\rho_{\boldsymbol{s}}\boldsymbol{I} + \frac{(1-\phi)^2}{\phi}\rho_f\boldsymbol{I} - \frac{i\boldsymbol{Z}}{\omega\phi^2}$$

Need to establish models for:

Z

 \tilde{K}_{eq}

 \boldsymbol{H}

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}} = -\frac{(1-\phi)}{\phi}\rho_f \boldsymbol{I} + \frac{i\boldsymbol{Z}}{\omega\phi^2}, \qquad \text{Cmf}\; \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{eq} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$$

$$ilde{oldsymbol{
ho}_t} = rac{
ho_f I}{\phi} - rac{i oldsymbol{Z}}{\phi^2 \omega}.$$
 Cmf $ilde{oldsymbol{
ho}_{eq}}_{eq}$





Hooke's tensor linear elasticity - recap



anisotropic open-cell materials, Int. J. Engrg. Sci. 147 (2020) 103198







Dynamic drag impedance

ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY In a local coordinate system



B. P. Semeniuk, E. Lundberg, and P. Goransson. "Acoustics modelling of open-cell foam materials from microstructure and constitutive properties". The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 149.3 (2021), pp. 2016–2026.



Solving for the absorption

Assume a semi-infinite stack of two layers on a rigid backing, total thickness 50 mm.

Each of the layers is a (possibly) twisted Kelvin cell based equivalent material.



11 O Dazel et al. " A stable method to model the acoustic response of multilayered structures". J Applied Physics 113 (2013), p. 83506 J P Parra Martinez et al. "Acoustic analysis of anisotropic poroelastic multilayered systems". J Applied Physics 119.8 (2016), p. 84907. J P Parra Martinez et al. "Derivation of the state matrix for dynamic analysis of linear homogeneous media". JASA 140.2 (2016), EL218-EL220.



Two layers cost function max(Absorption) 500-1000 Hz

ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY



Optimisation step





Two layers cost function max(Absorption) 500-1000 Hz

ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY





Two layers solutionmax(Absorption) 500-1000 Hz

mm

34

16

Thickness Porosity

%

66

93

Radius

mm

0.31

0.14

Twist

ο

89

46

ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Layer

top

bottom

Optimal cell microgeometries



Surface

density

kg/m^2

18.4

1.8



C N B	research where ECOlogy & ECOnomy meet
010	S.
2	a c





The optimal solutions elastic parameters

ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY



H11 H12 H14 H15



H44



FTECHNOLOGY

- General framework for acoustic anisotropic poro-elastic material modelling based on an open cell template.
- Microstructure geometry based modelling of elasticity and viscous drag enable meaningful optimisation.
- Optimal vibro-acoustic performance:
 - Resulting cell geometry highly distorted and different between layers
 - A complex interplay between different deformation mechanisms



Aniso optimal @ absorption peak 1100 Hz





Dynamic drag impedance

ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY



$$Z_{Ln} = 2\pi \int_0^{l_n} r_n k_\beta \mu_f \frac{H_1^{(2)}(k_\beta r_n)}{H_0^{(2)}(k_\beta r_n)} dz = 2\pi r_n l_n k_\beta \mu_f \frac{H_1^{(2)}(k_\beta r_n)}{H_0^{(2)}(k_\beta r_n)},$$

$$Z_{Tn} = i\pi r_n^2 l_n \rho_f \omega \Big(1 - \frac{4H_1^{(2)}(k_\beta r_n)}{k_\beta r_n H_0^{(2)}(k_\beta r_n)} \Big),$$

$$k_{\beta} = \sqrt{-(i\omega\rho_f/\mu_f)}$$





B. P. Semeniuk, E. Lundberg, and P. Goransson. "Acoustics modelling of open-cell foam materials from microstructure and constitutive properties". The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 149.3 (2021), pp. 2016–2026.



Hooke's matrix

ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY Normalised with H_{11}

Layer 1 x 5.5 MPa =	\mathcal{E}_{xy}	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}$	ϵ_{yz}			
1.0000	-0.1320	-0.1320	-0.1995	0.2507	-0.0189	
-0.1320	1.0000	-0.1320	0.2507	-0.0189	-0.1995	
-0.1320	-0.1320	1.0000	-0.0189	-0.1995	0.2507	
-0.1995	0.2507	-0.0189	0.4663	-0.0216	-0.0216	
0.2507	-0.0189	-0.1995	-0.0216	0.4003	-0.2210	
-0.0189	-0.1995	0.2507	-0.0216	-0.0216	0.4663	
Layer 2 x 0.9 MPa = H_{11}						
1.0000	0.0126	0.0126	-0.1132	0.1451	0.0175	
0.0126	1.0000	0.0126	0.1451	0.0175	-0.1132	
0.0126	0.0126	1.0000	0.0175	-0.1132	0.1451	
-0.1132	0.1451	0.0175	0.3750	-0.0323	-0.0323	
0.1451	0.0175	-0.1132	-0.0323	W.2750	-0.0323	
0.0175	-0.1132	0.1451	-0.0323	-0.0323	0.3750	

